SANDRA R. BROWN
Acting United States Attorney
LAWRENCE S. MIDDLETON
Assistant United States Attorney
Chief, Criminal Division

ALEXANDER B. SCHWAB (Cal. Bar No. 283421) Assistant United States Attorney

OCDETF Section

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1400 United States Courthouse 312 North Spring Street Los Angeles, California 90012

Telephone: (213) 894-1259 Facsimile: (213) 894-0142

E-mail: alexander.schwab@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

ADNAN FAYEZ BAHHUR, et al.,

Defendants.

No. CR 15-562-PSG

PLEA AGREEMENT FOR DEFENDANT ADNAN FAYEZ BAHHUR (#1)

- 1. This constitutes the plea agreement between defendant Adnan Fayez Bahhur ("defendant") and the United States Attorney's Office for the Central District of California (the "USAO") in the above-captioned case. This agreement is limited to the USAO and cannot bind any other federal, state, local, or foreign prosecuting, enforcement, administrative, or regulatory authority.
- 2. Defendant understands and agrees that this Agreement is part of a "package deal" in which the disposition of the case against defendant is tied to and conditioned on the disposition of cases against three other defendants, namely, HAKEEM ADNAN BAHHUR ("H. BAHHUR"), ISLAM ADNAN BAHHUR ("I. BAHHUR"), and MAESA ADNAN BAHHUR

("M. BAHHUR"). Accordingly, defendant and the USAO agree that this Agreement and the obligations it creates will not become binding on the USAO and defendant unless and until: (a) defendant executes this Agreement and enters a guilty plea in accordance with this Agreement; (b) defendants H. BAHHUR and M. BAHHUR execute their plea agreements with the USAO and enter guilty pleas in accordance with those agreements; and (c) defendant I. BAHHUR executes his letter agreement with the USAO. Defendant acknowledges that defendant has discussed with defendant's attorney, and carefully considered, the possible advantages and disadvantages to defendant of entering into this Agreement as part of the package deal; defendant is entering into this Agreement as part of the package deal freely and voluntarily because defendant believes this Agreement and the package deal to be in defendant's best interests; and defendant is not entering into this Agreement as part of the package deal because of threats, coercion, or other undue influence by the USAO or by the other defendants who are part of the package deal, their counsel, or anyone acting on their behalf.

DEFENDANT'S OBLIGATIONS

3. Defendant agrees to:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

26

27

- a. Give up the right to indictment by a grand jury and, at the earliest opportunity requested by the USAO and provided by the Court, appear and plead guilty to a single-count information in the form attached to this agreement as Exhibit A or a substantially similar form, which charges defendant with conspiracy to manufacture, possess with intent to distribute, and distribute controlled substance analogues, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371.
 - b. Not contest facts agreed to in this agreement.

- c. Abide by all agreements regarding sentencing contained in this agreement.
- d. Appear for all court appearances, surrender as ordered for service of sentence, obey all conditions of any bond, and obey any other ongoing court order in this matter.
- e. Not commit any crime; however, offenses that would be excluded for sentencing purposes under United States Sentencing Guidelines ("USSG" or "Sentencing Guidelines") § 4A1.2(c) are not within the scope of this agreement.
- f. Be truthful at all times with Pretrial Services, the United States Probation Office, and the Court.
- g. Pay the applicable special assessment at or before the time of sentencing unless defendant lacks the ability to pay and prior to sentencing submits a completed financial statement on a form to be provided by the USAO.

FORFEITURE AND FINANCIAL ACCOUNTABILITY

4. Defendant further agrees:

- a. Truthfully to disclose to law enforcement officials, at a date and time to be set by the USAO, the location of, defendant's ownership interest in, and all other information known to defendant about, all monies, properties, and/or assets of any kind, derived from or acquired as a result of, or used to facilitate the commission of, defendant's illegal activities, and to forfeit all right, title, and interest in and to such items, which defendant admits constitute the proceeds of defendant's illegal activity in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C) and 28 U.S.C. § 2461.
- b. To the Court's entry of an order of forfeiture at or before sentencing with respect to these assets and to the forfeiture

of the assets.

- c. To take whatever steps are necessary to pass to the United States clear title to the assets described above, including, without limitation, the execution of a consent decree of forfeiture and the completing of any other legal documents required for the transfer of title to the United States.
- d. Not to contest any administrative forfeiture proceedings or civil judicial proceedings commenced against these properties pursuant 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C) and 28 U.S.C. § 2461. With respect to any criminal forfeiture ordered as a result of this plea agreement, defendant waives the requirements of Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure 32.2 and 43(a) regarding notice of the forfeiture in the charging instrument, announcements of the forfeiture sentencing, and incorporation of the forfeiture in the judgment. Defendant acknowledges that forfeiture of the assets is part of the sentence that may be imposed in this case and waives any failure by the Court to advise defendant of this, pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11(b)(1)(J), at the time the Court accepts defendant's guilty plea.
- e. Not to assist any other individual in any effort falsely to contest the forfeiture of the assets described above.
- f. Not to claim that reasonable cause to seize the assets was lacking.
- g. To prevent the transfer, sale, destruction, or loss of any and all assets described above to the extent defendant has the ability to do so.
- h. To fill out and deliver to the USAO a completed financial statement listing defendant's assets on a form provided by

the USAO.

i. That forfeiture of assets described above shall not be counted toward satisfaction of any special assessment, fine, restitution, costs, or other penalty the Court may impose.

THE USAO'S OBLIGATIONS

- 5. The USAO agrees to:
 - a. Not contest facts agreed to in this agreement.
- b. Abide by all agreements regarding sentencing contained in this agreement.
- c. At the time of sentencing, move to dismiss the underlying indictment as against defendant. Defendant agrees, however, that at the time of sentencing the Court may consider any dismissed charges in determining the applicable Sentencing Guidelines range, the propriety and extent of any departure from that range, and the sentence to be imposed.
- d. At the time of sentencing, provided that defendant demonstrates an acceptance of responsibility for the offense up to and including the time of sentencing, recommend a two-level reduction in the applicable Sentencing Guidelines offense level, pursuant to USSG § 3E1.1, and recommend and, if necessary, move for an additional one-level reduction if available under that section.

NATURE OF THE OFFENSE

6. Defendant understands that for defendant to be guilty of the crime charged in the sole count of the information, that is, conspiracy to manufacture, possess with intent to distribute, and distribute controlled substance analogues, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371, the following must be true: (1) there was an agreement between two or more persons to manufacture, possess with intent to

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

distribute, and distribute controlled substance analogues, in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(C) and 813; (2) defendant joined in the agreement knowing of its purpose and intending to help accomplish that purpose; (3) defendant knew that the XLR-11 was intended for human consumption; (4) XLR-11 has a stimulant, depressant, or hallucinogenic effect on the central nervous system that is substantially similar to or greater than the stimulant, depressant, or hallucinogenic effect on the central nervous system of a Schedule I or Schedule II controlled substance or is represented or intended to have a stimulant, depressant, or hallucinogenic effect on the central nervous system that is substantially similar to or greater than the stimulant, depressant or hallucinogenic effect on the central nervous system of a Schedule I or Schedule II controlled substance; (5) defendant knew either that XLR-11 was controlled either as a scheduled substance or as a controlled substance analogue, or that it had a substantially similar chemical structure to a Schedule I or Schedule II controlled substance; and (6) one of the members of the conspiracy performed at least one overt act for the purpose of carrying out the conspiracy.

PENALTIES

- 7. Defendant understands that the statutory maximum sentence that the Court can impose for a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371, is: five years' imprisonment; a three-year period of supervised release; a fine of \$250,000 or twice the gross gain or gross loss resulting from the offense, whichever is greatest; and a mandatory special assessment of \$100.
- 8. Defendant understands that supervised release is a period of time following imprisonment during which defendant will be subject

to various restrictions and requirements. Defendant understands that if defendant violates one or more of the conditions of any supervised release imposed, defendant may be returned to prison for all or part of the term of supervised release authorized by statute for the offense that resulted in the term of supervised release.

- 9. The Court will also order forfeiture of the property listed in the forfeiture allegation of the indictment, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C) and 28 U.S.C. § 2461, or substitute assets up to the value of that property.
- may be giving up valuable government benefits and valuable civic rights, such as the right to vote, the right to possess a firearm, the right to hold office, and the right to serve on a jury. Defendant understands that once the court accepts defendant's guilty plea, it will be a federal felony for defendant to possess a firearm or ammunition. Defendant understands that the conviction in this case may also subject defendant to various other collateral consequences, including but not limited to revocation of probation, parole, or supervised release in another case and suspension or revocation of a professional license. Defendant understands that unanticipated collateral consequences will not serve as grounds to withdraw defendant's guilty plea.
- 11. Defendant understands that, if defendant is not a United States citizen, the felony conviction in this case may subject defendant to: removal, also known as deportation, which may, under some circumstances, be mandatory; denial of citizenship; and denial of admission to the United States in the future. The court cannot, and defendant's attorney also may not be able to, advise defendant

2.0

fully regarding the immigration consequences of the felony conviction in this case. Defendant understands that unexpected immigration consequences will not serve as grounds to withdraw defendant's guilty plea.

FACTUAL BASIS

12. Defendant admits that defendant is, in fact, guilty of the offense to which defendant is agreeing to plead guilty. Defendant and the USAO agree to the statement of facts provided below and agree that this statement of facts is sufficient to support a plea of guilty to the charge described in this agreement but is not meant to be a complete recitation of all facts relevant to the underlying criminal conduct or all facts known to either party that relate to that conduct.

Since March 1, 2011, JWH-018, a synthetic cannabinoid, has been classified as a Schedule I controlled substance. XLR-11 is a synthetic cannabinoid that is among the analogues of JWH-018. As an analogue of JWH-018, XLR-11 has a substantially similar chemical structure and a stimulant, depressant, or hallucinogenic effect on the central nervous system that is substantially similar to that of JWH-018, which itself mimics the effects of tetrahydrocannabinol (THC). XLR-11 can be mixed with other agents, such as acetone, to generate a mixture sprayed onto plant material to create a smokable synthetic cannabinoid ("SSC"), often referred to as "spice."

Beginning on a date unknown and continuing to approximately May 30, 2013, in Los Angeles, Orange, and Riverside Counties, within the Central District of California, and elsewhere, defendant conspired and agreed with others to knowingly and intentionally manufacture, possess with intent to distribute, and distribute SSCs containing a

2.4

detectable amount of XLR-11, knowing that the substances were intended for human consumption and knowing that XLR-11 was a controlled substance analogue. And, during the course of the conspiracy, members performed overt acts for the purpose of carrying out the conspiracy. Specifically, defendant ran a business in which he would purchase, or cause others, including his daughter, M. BAHHUR, to purchase, XLR-11 in its chemical form. The XLR-11 would then be shipped from suppliers in China, often under false labels, to recipients designated by defendant. Defendant would oversee the manufacture and sale of SSCs treated with the XLR-11 he purchased at his business, "Glass 'R' Us," located in Fullerton, California. He also directed his sons, H. BAHHUR and I. BAHHUR, to manufacture, transport, and sell these SSCs and deposit the proceeds of SSC sales into bank accounts over which defendant exercised control.

For example, defendant instructed I. BAHHUR on August 29, 2011, to package SSCs for sale, and, on July 4, 2012, I. BAHHUR deposited roughly \$2,700 in SSC proceeds into a bank account controlled by defendant. Additionally, on January 10, 2013, defendant and a coconspirator manufactured SSCs at a warehouse leased by codefendant Yasir Harb.

Over the course of the conspiracy, defendant ordered or intended receipt of several shipments of XLR-11 in its chemical form that were ultimately intercepted by law enforcement. For example, on or about September 14, 2012, defendant received approximately 20 kilograms of XLR-11 during a controlled delivery by law enforcement at his Glass "R" Us business in Fullerton. On or about December 18, 2012, law enforcement intercepted approximately 3 kilograms of XLR-11 that had been ordered by defendant and that had been mislabeled "sodium"

alginate." And, on or about January 22, 2013, law enforcement intercepted an additional nine kilograms of XLR-11 from five separate packages that had been ordered by defendant, which were mislabeled "zeolite" or "BNX565." These interdicted shipments collectively contained approximately 32 kilograms of actual XLR-11 in its chemical form -- a quantity that defendant had either actual knowledge of or was reasonably foreseeable to him -- that defendant either intended to use personally in the manufacture of SSCs or intended to have shipped to other individuals for use in manufacturing SSCs.

SENTENCING FACTORS

- 13. Defendant understands that in determining defendant's sentence the Court is required to calculate the applicable Sentencing Guidelines range and to consider that range, possible departures under the Sentencing Guidelines, and the other sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a). Defendant understands that the Sentencing Guidelines are advisory only, that defendant cannot have any expectation of receiving a sentence within the calculated Sentencing Guidelines range, and that after considering the Sentencing Guidelines and the other § 3553(a) factors, the Court will be free to exercise its discretion to impose any sentence it finds appropriate between the mandatory minimum and up to the maximum set by statute for the crime of conviction.
- 14. Except as set forth in paragraph 4 above, defendant and the USAO have no agreement as to the appropriate sentence or the applicable Sentencing Guidelines factors. Except as set forth in paragraph 4, both parties reserve the right to seek any sentence within the statutory maximum, and to argue for any criminal history score and category, base offense level, specific offense

characteristics, adjustments, departures, and variances.

- 15. Defendant understands that there is no agreement as to defendant's criminal history or criminal history category.
- 16. Defendant and the USAO reserve the right to argue for a sentence outside the sentencing range established by the Sentencing Guidelines based on the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(1), (a)(2), (a)(3), (a)(6), and (a)(7).

WAIVER OF CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS

- 17. Defendant understands that by pleading guilty, defendant gives up the following rights:
 - a. The right to persist in a plea of not guilty.
 - b. The right to a speedy and public trial by jury.
- c. The right to be represented by counsel -- and if necessary have the court appoint counsel -- at trial. Defendant understands, however, that, defendant retains the right to be represented by counsel -- and if necessary have the court appoint counsel -- at every other stage of the proceeding.
- d. The right to be presumed innocent and to have the burden of proof placed on the government to prove defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.
- e. The right to confront and cross-examine witnesses against defendant.
- f. The right to testify and to present evidence in opposition to the charges, including the right to compel the attendance of witnesses to testify.
- g. The right not to be compelled to testify, and, if defendant chose not to testify or present evidence, to have that choice not be used against defendant.

h. Any and all rights to pursue any affirmative defenses, Fourth Amendment or Fifth Amendment claims, and other pretrial motions that have been filed or could be filed.

WAIVER OF APPEAL OF CONVICTION

18. Defendant understands that, with the exception of an appeal based on a claim that defendant's guilty plea was involuntary, by pleading guilty defendant is waiving and giving up any right to appeal defendant's conviction on the offense to which defendant is pleading guilty.

LIMITED MUTUAL WAIVER OF APPEAL OF SENTENCE

- 19. Defendant agrees that, provided the Court imposes a total term of imprisonment on the count of conviction at or below the statutory maximum specified above, defendant gives up the right to appeal all of the following: (a) the procedures and calculations used to determine and impose any portion of the sentence; (b) the term of imprisonment imposed by the Court; (c) the fine imposed by the court, provided it is within the statutory maximum; (d) the term of probation or supervised release imposed by the Court, provided it is within the statutory maximum; and (e) any of the following conditions of probation or supervised release imposed by the Court: the conditions set forth in General Orders 318, 01-05, and/or 05-02 of this Court; the drug testing conditions mandated by 18 U.S.C. \$\$ 3563(a)(5) and 3583(d); and the alcohol and drug use conditions authorized by 18 U.S.C. \$ 3563(b)(7).
- 20. The USAO agrees that, provided all portions of the sentence are at or below the statutory maximum specified above, the USAO gives up its right to appeal any portion of the sentence.

RESULT OF WITHDRAWAL OF GUILTY PLEA

21. Defendant agrees that if, after entering a guilty plea pursuant to this agreement, defendant seeks to withdraw and succeeds in withdrawing defendant's guilty plea on any basis other than a claim and finding that entry into this plea agreement was involuntary, then (a) the USAO will be relieved of all of its obligations under this agreement; and (b) should the USAO choose to pursue any charge that was either dismissed or not filed as a result of this agreement, then (i) any applicable statute of limitations will be tolled between the date of defendant's signing of this agreement and the filing commencing any such action; and (ii) defendant waives and gives up all defenses based on the statute of limitations, any claim of pre-indictment delay, or any speedy trial claim with respect to any such action, except to the extent that such defenses existed as of the date of defendant's signing this agreement.

RESULT OF VACATUR, REVERSAL, OR SET-ASIDE

22. Defendant agrees that if the count of conviction is vacated, reversed, or set aside, both the USAO and defendant will be released from all their obligations under this agreement.

EFFECTIVE DATE OF AGREEMENT

23. This agreement is effective upon signature and execution of all required certifications by defendant, defendant's counsel, and an Assistant United States Attorney.

BREACH OF AGREEMENT

24. Defendant agrees that if defendant, at any time after the signature of this agreement and execution of all required certifications by defendant, defendant's counsel, and an Assistant

2.0

United States Attorney, knowingly violates or fails to perform any of defendant's obligations under this agreement ("a breach"), the USAO may declare this agreement breached. All of defendant's obligations are material, a single breach of this agreement is sufficient for the USAO to declare a breach, and defendant shall not be deemed to have cured a breach without the express agreement of the USAO in writing. If the USAO declares this agreement breached, and the Court finds such a breach to have occurred, then: (a) if defendant has previously entered a guilty plea pursuant to this agreement, defendant will not be able to withdraw the guilty plea, and (b) the USAO will be relieved of all its obligations under this agreement.

- 25. Following the Court's finding of a knowing breach of this agreement by defendant, should the USAO choose to pursue any charge or any civil, administrative, or regulatory action that was either dismissed or not filed as a result of this agreement, then:
- a. Defendant agrees that any applicable statute of limitations is tolled between the date of defendant's signing of this agreement and the filing commencing any such action.
- b. Defendant waives and gives up all defenses based on the statute of limitations, any claim of pre-indictment delay, or any speedy trial claim with respect to any such action, except to the extent that such defenses existed as of the date of defendant's signing this agreement.
- c. Defendant agrees that: (i) any statements made by defendant, under oath, at the guilty plea hearing (if such a hearing occurred prior to the breach); (ii) the agreed to factual basis statement in this agreement; and (iii) any evidence derived from such statements, shall be admissible against defendant in any such action

against defendant, and defendant waives and gives up any claim under the United States Constitution, any statute, Rule 410 of the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 11(f) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, or any other federal rule, that the statements or any evidence derived from the statements should be suppressed or are inadmissible.

COURT AND PROBATION OFFICE NOT PARTIES

- 26. Defendant understands that the Court and the United States Probation Office are not parties to this agreement and need not accept any of the USAO's sentencing recommendations or the parties' agreements to facts or sentencing factors.
- 27. Defendant understands that both defendant and the USAO are free to: (a) supplement the facts by supplying relevant information to the United States Probation Office and the Court, and (b) correct any and all factual misstatements relating to the Court's Sentencing Guidelines calculations and determination of sentence. While this paragraph permits both the USAO and defendant to submit full and complete factual information to the United States Probation Office and the Court, even if that factual information may be viewed as inconsistent with the facts agreed to in this agreement, this paragraph does not affect defendant's and the USAO's obligations not to contest the facts agreed to in this agreement.
- 28. Defendant understands that even if the Court ignores any sentencing recommendation, finds facts or reaches conclusions different from those agreed to, and/or imposes any sentence up to the maximum established by statute, defendant cannot, for that reason, withdraw defendant's guilty plea, and defendant will remain bound to fulfill all defendant's obligations under this agreement. Defendant

understands that no one -- not the prosecutor, defendant's attorney, or the Court -- can make a binding prediction or promise regarding the sentence defendant will receive, except that it will be within the statutory maximum.

NO ADDITIONAL AGREEMENTS

29. Defendant understands that, except as set forth herein, there are no promises, understandings, or agreements between the USAO and defendant or defendant's attorney, and that no additional promise, understanding, or agreement may be entered into unless in a writing signed by all parties or on the record in court.

PLEA AGREEMENT PART OF THE GUILTY PLEA HEARING

30. The parties agree that this agreement will be considered part of the record of defendant's guilty plea hearing as if the entire agreement had been read into the record of the proceeding.

15 | AGREED AND ACCEPTED

16 UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF

17 | CALIFORNIA

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

SANTRA R. BROWN Acting United States Attorney

ALEXANDER B. SCHWAB

Assistant United States Attorney

ADNAN FAYEZ BAHHUR Defendant

JOHN H. HOBSON

#ttorney for Defendant ADNAN FAYEZ BAHHUR

N H. HOBSON Drney for Defendant

Date

28

16

CERTIFICATION OF DEFENDANT

I have read this agreement in its entirety. I have had enough time to review and consider this agreement, and I have carefully and thoroughly discussed every part of it with my attorney. I understand the terms of this agreement, and I voluntarily agree to those terms. I have discussed the evidence with my attorney, and my attorney has advised me of my rights, of possible pretrial motions that might be filed, of possible defenses that might be asserted either prior to or at trial, of the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), of relevant Sentencing Guidelines provisions, and of the consequences of entering into this agreement. No promises, inducements, or representations of any kind have been made to me other than those contained in this agreement. No one has threatened or forced me in any way to enter into this agreement. I am satisfied with the representation of my attorney in this matter, and I am pleading guilty because I am guilty of the charges and wish to take advantage of the promises set forth in this agreement, and not for any other

reason.

ADNAN FAYEZ BAHHUR

Defendant

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28

CERTIFICATION OF DEFENDANT'S ATTORNEY

July-11-2017

I am ADNAN FAYEZ BAHHUR's attorney. I have carefully and thoroughly discussed every part of this agreement with my client. Further, I have fully advised my client of his rights, of possible pretrial motions that might be filed, of possible defenses that might be asserted either prior to or at trial, of the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), of relevant Sentencing Guidelines

provisions, and of the consequences of entering into this agreement. To my knowledge: no promises, inducements, or representations of any kind have been made to my client other than those contained in this agreement; no one has threatened or forced my client in any way to enter into this agreement; my client's decision to enter into this agreement is an informed and voluntary one; and the factual basis set forth in this agreement is sufficient to support my client's entry of a guilty plea pursuant to this agreement.

June 30, 2017

JOHN H. HOBSON
Attorney for Defendant ADNAN FAYEZ BAHHUR

EXHIBIT A

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 No. CR 15-562(A)-PSG UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 10 Ι Plaintiff, 11 S I Ū $\overline{\mathrm{N}}$ 12 v. [18 U.S.C. § 371: Conspiracy to ADNAN FAYEZ BAHHUR, 13 Manufacture, Possess with Intent aka "Tom," to Distribute, and Distribute aka "Bob," 14 Controlled Substance Analogues] aka "Abu Islam," aka "Abo Islam," 15 aka "Tommy Gun," aka "Abu Hajrah," 16 Defendant. 17 18 The Acting United States Attorney charges: 19 [18 U.S.C. § 371] 20 GENERAL ALLEGATIONS 21 The Controlled Substance Analogue Enforcement Act of 22 1986 (the "Analogue Act") makes unlawful the manufacture, 23 dispensing, and possession of controlled substance analogues. 24 controlled substance analogue is a substance which is 25 substantially similar to the chemical structure of a Schedule I 26 or Schedule II controlled substance and which has either a 27 stimulant, depressant, or hallucinogenic effect on the central 28

nervous system that is substantially similar to or greater than that of the chemically analogous Schedule I or Schedule II controlled substance, or is represented or intended to have such an effect. A controlled substance analogue intended for human consumption is treated as a Schedule I or Schedule II controlled substance for purposes of the Controlled Substances Act.

- 2. Since March 1, 2011, 1-pentyl-3-(1-naphthoyl)indole ("JWH-018") has been classified as a Schedule I controlled substance which has a depressant and hallucinogenic effect on the central nervous system. JWH-018 is a synthetic cannabinoid.
- 3. The synthetic cannabinoid 1-(5-fluoropenty1)-3-(2,2,3,3-tetramethycyclopropoyl)indole, also known as ("aka") "5F," aka "5-Flouro-144," aka "XLR-11" ("XLR-11"), is among the analogues of JWH-018. As an analogue of JWH-018, XLR-11 can be mixed with agents, such as acetone, to generate a mixture that is sprayed onto plant material, such as marshmallow leaf or damania leaf, to create synthetic marijuana (commonly referred to as "spice" or "herbal incense"). Such synthetic cannabinoids are smoked or orally ingested, and are referred to as smokable synthetic cannabinoids ("SSCs").
- 4. On May 16, 2013, XLR-11 became a Schedule I Controlled Substance.

B. OBJECTS OF THE CONSPIRACY

Beginning on a date unknown, and continuing to approximately on or about May 30, 2013, in Los Angeles, Orange, and Riverside Counties, within the Central District of California, and elsewhere, defendant ADNAN FAYEZ BAHHUR, also known as ("aka") "Tom," aka "Bob," aka "Abu Islam," aka "Abo

Islam," aka "Tommy Gun," aka "Abu Hajrah" ("BAHHUR"), and others known and unknown, conspired and agreed with each other to knowingly and intentionally manufacture, possess with intent to distribute, and distribute SSCs, knowing that the SSCs were intended for human consumption, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 813, 841(a)(1) and (b)(1)(C).

C. MEANS BY WHICH THE OBJECTS OF THE CONSPIRACY WERE TO BE ACCOMPLISHED

The objects of the conspiracy were to be accomplished, in substance, as follows:

- 1. Defendant BAHHUR would purchase and cause co-conspirators to purchase XLR-11 in chemical form for the purpose of resale and usage in the manufacture of SSCs, would oversee the manufacture and sale of SSC products, and would direct the collection of proceeds from the sale of SSCs.
- 2. Co-conspirators would assist defendant BAHHUR in procuring XLR-11, in obtaining packaging for manufactured SSCs, in distributing SSC products containing XLR-11, and in handling proceeds of SSC sales.
- 3. Co-conspirators would manufacture, transport, and sell SSC products containing XLR-11 and would direct the deposit of proceeds of SSC sales into bank accounts controlled by the BAHHUR family, at the direction of defendant BAHHUR and others.

D. OVERT ACTS

In furtherance of the conspiracy, and to accomplish the objects of the conspiracy, on or about the following dates, defendant BAHHUR, and others known and unknown, committed

various overt acts within the Central District of California, and elsewhere, including, but not limited to, the following:

- 1. On July 4, 2012, a co-conspirator ("Co-Conspirator A") deposited \$2,700, cash proceeds from the sale of SSCs, into a bank account controlled by defendant BAHHUR.
- 2. On September 10, 2012, defendant BAHHUR ordered XLR-11 to be delivered to a post office box controlled by a coconspirator ("Co-Conspirator B").
- 3. On September 14, 2012, Co-Conspirator B delivered a package of approximately 20 kilograms of XLR-11, packaged in bags marked "5F," to defendant BAHHUR and Co-Conspirator A at the business location for Glass "R" Us in Fullerton, California.
- 4. On September 14, 2012, defendant BAHHUR, Co-Conspirator A, and another co-conspirator ("Co-Conspirator D") possessed SSCs containing XLR-11, and paraphernalia for the manufacture of SSCs, at the Glass "R" Us business location in Fullerton, California.
- 5. On December 18, 2012, defendant BAHHUR caused an unindicted co-conspirator in China to send approximately three kilograms of SSCs containing XLR-11, mislabeled as "sodium alginate," to an unindicted co-conspirator in Fullerton, California.
- 6. On January 10, 2013, defendant BAHHUR and an unidentified co-conspirator manufactured SSCs at a warehouse leased by a co-conspirator ("Co-Conspirator E").
- 7. On January 11, 2013, defendant BAHHUR emailed an unindicted co-conspirator in China seeking to order SSC chemicals and SSC packaging paraphernalia.

- 8. On January 11, 2013, the unindicted co-conspirator in China e-mailed defendant BAHHUR and confirmed that ten kilograms of XLR-11 would be sent to defendant BAHHUR, such that two kilograms would be sent to Co-Conspirator E, two shipments of two kilograms would be sent to an unindicted co-conspirator in Fullerton, California, two kilograms would be sent to Co-Conspirator D, and two kilograms would be sent to another unindicted co-conspirator.
- 9. On January 22, 2013, defendant BAHHUR caused an unindicted co-conspirator in China to send approximately one kilogram of XLR-11 by International Express Mail to Co-Conspirator E at a business location Co-Conspirator E maintained in Perris, California.
- 10. On January 22, 2013, defendant BAHHUR caused an unindicted co-conspirator in China to send approximately one kilogram of XLR-11 by International Express Mail to Co-Conspirator E at a business location Co-Conspirator E maintained in Perris, California.
- 11. On January 22, 2013, defendant BAHHUR caused an unindicted co-conspirator in China to send approximately one kilogram of XLR-11, mislabeled as "zeolite," by International Express Mail to an unindicted co-conspirator in Fullerton, California.
- 12. On January 22, 2013, defendant BAHHUR caused an unindicted co-conspirator in China to send approximately three kilograms of SSCs containing XLR-11, mislabeled as "zeolite," by International Express Mail to defendants BAHHUR and Co-

Conspirator D, at a post office box they maintained in 1 Fullerton, California. 2 13. On May 13, 2013, Co-Conspirator D possessed \$33,900 in 3 cash proceeds from sales of SSCs along with pay-owe sheets 4 reflecting sales of SSCs. 5 6 SANDRA R. BROWN 7 Acting United States Attorney 8 9 LAWRENCE S. MIDDLETON 10 Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Criminal Division 11 KEVIN M. LALLY 12 Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Organized Crime Drug 13 Enforcement Task Force Section 1.4 BENJAMIN R. BARRON Assistant United States Attorney 15 Deputy Chief, Organized Crime Drug Enforcement Task Force Section 16 ALEXANDER BAIER SCHWAB 17 Assistant United States Attorney Organized Crime Drug Enforcement 18 Task Force Section 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28